



# International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management

Volume 12, Issue 6, November - December 2025

**ISSN**

INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA

**Impact Factor: 8.028**

# **Black Humour and Social Commentary: A Critical Study of Way to Go**

**Rama Rao Majji<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Radha Devi<sup>2</sup>**

Ph.D. Research Scholar, HSS Department, University College of Engineering, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam,  
Andhra Pradesh, India<sup>1</sup>

E-mail: mramaraodrbrau@gmail.com

Ph.D. Research Supervisor, HSS Department, University College of Engineering Andhra University, Visakhapatnam,  
Andhra Pradesh, India<sup>2</sup>

E-mail: drdevi@lbcc.edu.in

**ABSTRACT:** Black humour has long served as a powerful narrative tool for negotiating difficult subjects such as death, morality, and social anxieties. This paper examines *Way to Go* (2013), a British black comedy-drama series, as a cultural text that deploys humour to engage with pressing ethical dilemmas surrounding euthanasia, friendship, and socio-economic pressures. Drawing on theories of humour, satire, and cultural criticism, this study argues that *Way to Go* uses black humour not merely for entertainment but as a vehicle of social commentary, challenging audiences to reconsider normative attitudes towards mortality, legality, and responsibility. By situating the show within broader traditions of dark comedy, this conceptual paper contributes to an understanding of humour as both resistance and reflection of contemporary society.

**KEYWORDS:** black humour, social commentary, dark comedy, euthanasia, television studies, *Way to Go*

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Humour has traditionally operated as a cultural prism through which societies challenge distasteful truths, from death to political oppression. Black humour, in specific, operates as a method of transforming tragedy, death, and existential fears into comic language that at once disturbs and amuses audiences. Freud (1928/2002) believed that jokes tend to disclose repressed tensions, serving as psychic release from social and personal taboos, whereas more contemporary theorists propose that black comedy subverts normative frameworks by placing contradictions at the forefront (Critchley, 2002; Gutiérrez-García et al., 2020). Black humour is a genre that exists in between—entertainment and critique—probing cultural unease regarding death, suffering, and the absurdity of life (Kaufman, 2017).

*Way to Go* (2013), a short-lived British sitcom, offers a provocative case study of such dynamics. The show tracks three friends who start an assisted-suicide business, fending off the moral and legal hurdles of death-as-service as well as being caught up in their own interpersonal conflicts. While its premise was controversial and ultimately led to cancellation after one season, the show is a case study of how black humour can function as social commentary. By redescription of euthanasia as entrepreneurial potential, the program pins down contradictions in post-capitalist societies wherein life and death themselves threaten to become commodities (Billig, 2005; Berger, 1997). Furthermore, the precarious working lives of the characters reflect wider economic uncertainties, placing mortality within neoliberal rationalities of efficiency and gain (Horkheimer & Adorno, 2002/1997; Lockyer & Pickering, 2008).

On one level, *Way to Go* may be viewed as more than a maverick sitcom; it is a cultural text that represents shared unease regarding morality, mortality, and economics in early twenty-first-century Britain. The show's transgressive application of dark humour speaks to satirical and grotesque humour traditions encouraging audience members to engage with the absurdity of social convention (Bakhtin, 1984; Double, 2014). Despite its brief lifespan, which reflects mainstream unease at its content, the series is a paradigm of the socially reflexive potential of black humour to at once disturb, amuse, and invite introspection.

## **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The subject of black humour has also traditionally been explained as the comedic handling of offensive topics like death, pain, or metaphysical pointlessness (Thorson, Powell, Sarmany-Schuller, & Hamps, 1997). As opposed to mainstream comedy, which alleviates tension through reassurance or closure, black humour emphasizes discomfort,

forcing people to think about taboo subjects. Academics have highlighted the fact that black humour disrupts hegemonic ideologies by contrasting tragedy and comedy, thus encouraging critical thought while avoiding tidy moral closure (Boskin, 1997; Double, 2014). Such disruption places black humour as a unique mode of culture for grappling with trauma and death.

Dark comedy on television has been increasingly developed as a vehicle of cultural critique. Mills (2009) contends that dramedies and sitcoms often speak social fears by way of irony, absurdity, and dissonance in narrative. Such genres provide openings for audiences to negotiate contradictions in daily life, most notably related to existential issues. As an illustration, Wilkie (2014) illustrates how *Six Feet Under* builds death as a narrative tool but also as a cultural metaphor of changing values surrounding grief and identity. In the same vein, *After Life* has been examined for its darkly comedic treatment of bereavement, where individual despair is framed against collective expectations of coping (Turnbull, 2020). Such fare documents the wider cultural function of dark comedy in mediating personal and social perceptions of death.

Studies of euthanasia in the media also show the contested manner in which assisted dying is portrayed. Walter (2012) points out the way representations vacillate between sympathetic descriptions of suffering and ironic criticisms of medicalized medicine, frequently challenging the moral limits of lawful, medical, and personal decision. Lockyer and Pickering (2008) identify that humor in such a setting is capable of revealing inconsistencies in ethical argumentation, especially where legal institutions fall behind the general debates regarding dignity and autonomy. *Way to Go* (2013) takes up this disputed ground by presenting assisted suicide both as a moral commodity and a business enterprise. This double vision questions the convergence of ethics, economics, and legality in the context of late capitalism.

Humour theory offers interpretive paradigms to examine such texts. The incongruity theory proposes that humour results from expectations pitted against unanticipated realities (Morreall, 2009). Superiority theory, on the other hand, asserts that humour derives from feeling superior to others' frailties or misfortunes (Billig, 2005). Relief theory, which was developed from Freud (1928/2002), suggests that humour is a manifestation of repressed psychic tension's release. In *Way to Go*, all three frameworks meet: incongruity arises from making death a commercial enterprise, superiority erupts in audiences' detachment from the moral shortcomings of the characters, and relief occurs with converting the fear of mortality into laughter. The show, therefore, demonstrates how black humour can entertain and at the same time disturb audiences, making the show an important text to analyze cultural attitudes toward death in neoliberal societies.

### **III. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

This work places *Way to Go* (BBC, 2013) in the dialectic of critique and humour, claiming that black humour is not a surface ornament but a structural mode. The model uses humour theory, media studies, and cultural critique to illustrate how dark comedy works as a device of catharsis, a reflection of social conditions, and a means of subversion. Together, these accounts make black humour central to the cultural practice of negotiating the unhappiness of late modernity.

#### **1. Humour as Catharsis**

Traditional humour theories, most notably Freud's (1928/2002) relief theory, focus on the discharge of psychic tension by laughter. Dark humour, through its coverage of forbidden topics like death, misery, and suicide, gives spectators a symbolic vehicle to confront their fears without total despair (Morreall, 2009). Catharsis in black humour does not eliminate the offending subject but enables it to be digested in digestible form.

In *Way to Go*, assisted suicide's dominant theme drives viewers into an uncomfortable moral ground. However, comedic structure turns existential terror into moments of humor. That cathartic effect is imperative: through the laugh at the commodification of death, viewers momentarily unburden themselves of their mortality fears and hence derive psychological comfort even as the matter remains unsolved. Such catharsis underscores the therapeutic role of humor in broaching life's ultimate taboo.

#### **2. Humour as Social Mirror**

Humour not only brings relief but also mirrors the contradictions of the society into which it is anchored. Mills (2009) highlights the ways in which sitcoms and dramedies are cultural barometers, expressing fears through irony and absurdity. Lockyer and Pickering (2008) develop this argument further, contending that humour tends to pick up on tensions between individual ethics and social structures.

*Way to Go* operates as a reflection of early 2010s Britain, an era characterized by economic instability, underemployment, and disillusionment with classic establishments. The characters' entry into an assisted-suicide venture comes not just as comedic conception but as symptomatic of neoliberalism's pressures, wherein even the most

intense human experiences—birth and death—are translated into economic language. By dramatising tense friendships, work frustrations, and moral dilemmas, the series mirrors the lived contradictions of late modernity, where survival is often at the cost of ethical compromise.

### **3. Humour as Subversion**

In addition to relief and reflection, humour is also capable of destabilizing normative structures. Black humour, for example, has been defined as a rebellious or transgressive form that undermines hegemonic ideologies (Boskin, 1997; Double, 2014). In laughing at death, law, and morality, the audience is encouraged to challenge cultural scripts that determine what is or is not to be taken seriously.

In *Way to Go*, subversion appears in the form of refusing to address assisted suicide as only a serious or tragic issue. Rather, the show subverts traditional narratives by using death as the foundation of comedy and commerce. The implausibility of commercializing euthanasia de-stabilizes institutional power, satirizing legal strictness, medical paternalism, and religious restrictions alike. Subversion, in this case, is located in the boldness of laughter itself: it is to defy the power of death, to divest it of sacredness, and reveal the arbitrariness of cultural taboos.

### **IV. DIALECTICAL INTERACTION OF PERSPECTIVES**

These three approaches—catharsis, mirror, and subversion—ought not to be viewed as separate but as dialectically interconnected. Catharsis allows viewers to approach hard topics without disengagement; mirroring makes sure that the topics are socially and culturally relevant; and subversion disrupts hegemonic ideologies, opening up space for competing readings. In *Way to Go*, these aspects converge: humor provides psychic relief, mirrors the hypocrisy of neoliberal precarity, and subverts moral certainties surrounding death.

Therefore, the theoretical framework highlights that the black humour in *Way to Go* is not random but structural. It is at once a narrative technique and a cultural habit that entertains while necessitating thought, existing at the border between pain and comedy. The series exemplifies how dark comedy as a socially reflexive genre navigates the uncomfortable co-existence of morality, mortality, and market rationality in the present-day world.

### **V. DISCUSSION**

*Way to Go* illustrates the way black humour questions current worries by theatricalizing the crossroads of economics, mortality, and morality. Its characters—normal young men navigating unemployment, economic vulnerability, and broken personal lives—are the embodiment of insecurities in post-recession Britain, where neoliberal restructuring and austerity refigured everyday life (McGuigan, 2014). In redressing euthanasia as a "business model," the series not only satirizes the marketization of human life but also maps onto broader arguments regarding the commodification of care, where even the most personal spheres of life fall under economic reasoning (Harvey, 2005; Bauman, 2013).

The comedy of *Way to Go* is premised on a conscious shifting between laughter and discomfort, creating what Bakhtin (1984) termed a "carnivalesque" subversion of hierarchies. Death—once construed in reverent, sacred, and institutional terms—is desacralized and refigured as an object of commerce, jest, and farce. This carnival mood, however, does not settle questions of ethics but leaves them open, confronting the viewer with his or her ambivalence. The carnivalesque in black humor therefore functions as a form of critique: it deconstructs taboos not to belittle them, but to reveal their contingency and fragility.

Herein, the program joins other death-fixated comedies like *Six Feet Under* and *After Life*, which similarly destabilize cultural accounts of mortality by subjecting them to humor (Wilkie, 2014). However, in contrast with these dramas, *Way to Go* takes an explicitly entrepreneurial angle, turning euthanasia into a literal service sector. This positions it apart from narratives that are mostly concerned with death in home, family, or religious settings. By situating assisted suicide within the rationality of consumer choice, the series highlights the paradoxes of neoliberal subjectivity: subjects must be both independent decision-makers and custodian consumers, even in life-and-death issues (Rose, 1999).

However, *Way to Go*'s reception highlights the dangers of using black humour as social commentary. Whereas humour can function as a mode of subversion and resistance, it may also be read in other ways, as offensive or morally transgressive, based on audience sensibilities and cultural context (Billig, 2005; Kuipers, 2008). The show's transgression and the subsequent backlash, leading to cancellation after only one season, demonstrate this knife-edge. For certain publics, the laughter in the face of death stood for freedom; to others, it meant moral callousness or trivialization of pain. The ambivalence of humor thus resides at the heart of its cultural potency: it can subvert

prevailing ideologies while at the same time working to consolidate them, depending on reception (Lockyer & Pickering, 2008).

The show also shows how media institutions play a part in regulating the boundaries of humor. The BBC, being a public broadcaster, holds a complicated position: responsible for promoting creativity and provocation while also being subject to cultural norms of taste, decency, and accountability (Mills, 2016). Way to Go's cancellation then is not only evidence of audience unease but also of institutional negotiations of risk, reputation, and public service broadcasting.

Finally, the show's brief tenure exemplifies the volatile ground on which dark comedy exists on mainstream television. It illustrates the subversive power of humour in questioning taboo topics and contradictions of neoliberalism, as well as the cultural, institutional, and ethical limits that limit such satire.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This analysis has illustrated how Way to Go is a cultural text where black humour is a structural mode of critique and not entertainment. Through its satire of euthanasia, economic insecurity, and moral complexity, the series places humour within the crossroads of mortality and the logic of the market. Its comic tactics inscribe the contradictions of neoliberal society, where subjects are asked to balance autonomy, survival, and moral duty under conditions of precarity.

At the same time, the cancellation of the show highlights the cultural boundaries of black comedy. Humour that disturbs taboos can elicit critical thought, but also in danger of being censored as insensitive or indecent. This ambiguity—between freedom and offense, critique and complicity—is the very heart of the operation of black humour as a social medium. Here, Way to Go illustrates the double-edged strength of comedy: to comfort and disturb, to confirm and undermine, to amuse and perturb.

Subsequent research could seek comparative cross-cultural examination, looking at how death-focused comedies like Six Feet Under, After Life, or their global counterparts use humour to navigate mortality. Audience studies of reception would also be insightful, considering how various publics navigate the unease of giggling at dying. Last, investigating how institutions and platforms define and influence the parameters of what is appropriate for comedy would illuminate the politics of humor in a time of greater cultural sensitivity.

In the end, Way to Go shows that laughter, even in its darkest incarnation, is still one of the most powerful ways to critique culture. It shows how comedy can reveal the contradictions of modern life, redefining death not only as an end but also as a place where one negotiates, reflects, and, most paradoxically, laughs.

## REFERENCES

1. Bakhtin, M. (1984). *Rabelais and his world* (H. Iswolsky, Trans.). Indiana University Press.
2. Bauman, Z. (2013). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press.
3. Berger, A. A. (1997). *The art of comedy writing*. Transaction Publishers.
4. Billig, M. (2005). *Laughter and ridicule: Towards a social critique of humour*. SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446211428>
5. Boskin, J. (1997). *Rebellious laughter: People's humor in American culture*. Syracuse University Press.
6. Critchley, S. (2002). *On humour*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203458056>
7. Double, O. (2014). *Getting the joke: The inner workings of stand-up comedy* (3rd ed.). Bloomsbury.
8. Freud, S. (2002). *Humour*. In A. Richards (Ed.), *The standard edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud* (Vol. 21, pp. 159–166). Vintage. (Original work published 1928)
9. Gutiérrez-García, A., Calvo, M. G., & Meseguer, V. (2020). What makes us laugh? An emotion-based approach to humour. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 119(5), 1066–1085. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pspp0000285>
10. Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford University Press.
11. Horkheimer, M., & Adorno, T. W. (1997). *Dialectic of Enlightenment* (J. Cumming, Trans.). Verso. (Original work published 1944)
12. Kaufman, D. (2017). Black humor as cultural resistance: Existential laughter in contemporary art. *Humor*, 30(1), 77–95. <https://doi.org/10.1515/humor-2016-0102>
13. Kuipers, G. (2008). The sociology of humor. In V. Raskin (Ed.), *The primer of humor research* (pp. 361–398). Mouton de Gruyter.
14. Lockyer, S., & Pickering, M. (2008). *Beyond a joke: The limits of humour*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230227776>

15. McGuigan, J. (2014). *Neoliberal culture*. Palgrave Macmillan.
16. Mills, B. (2009). *The sitcom*. Edinburgh University Press.
17. Mills, B. (2016). *Comedy studies*. Edinburgh University Press.
18. Morreall, J. (2009). *Comic relief: A comprehensive philosophy of humor*. Wiley-Blackwell.
19. Rose, N. (1999). *Powers of freedom: Reframing political thought*. Cambridge University Press.
20. Thorson, J. A., Powell, F. C., Sarmany-Schuller, I., & Hampes, W. P. (1997). Psychological health and sense of humor. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 53(6), 605–619. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4679\(199710\)53:6<605::AID-JCLP1>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4679(199710)53:6<605::AID-JCLP1>3.0.CO;2-I)
21. Turnbull, S. (2020). “It’s funny because it’s true”: Dark humour, death and authenticity in *After Life*. *Comedy Studies*, 11(1), 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2040610X.2020.1717884>
22. Walter, T. (2012). Why different countries manage death differently: A comparative analysis of modern urban societies. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 63(1), 123–145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-4446.2011.01396.x>
23. Wilkie, A. (2014). *Six Feet Under: A cultural analysis*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137384311>
24. Wilkie, F. (2014). ‘Six Feet Under’: Televising mortality. In S. Haggart & B. Doyle (Eds.), *The afterlife of genre: Remnants of genre in contemporary film and television* (pp. 195–209). Palgrave Macmillan.



**ISSN**

INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD  
SERIAL  
NUMBER  
INDIA



# International Journal of Advanced Research in Arts, Science, Engineering & Management (IJARASEM)

| Mobile No: +91-9940572462 | Whatsapp: +91-9940572462 | [ijarasem@gmail.com](mailto:ijarasem@gmail.com) |